

**VILLAGE OF PLEASANT PRAIRIE
PLEASANT PRAIRIE VILLAGE BOARD
PLEASANT PRAIRIE WATER UTILITY
PLEASANT PRAIRIE SEWER UTILITY
Village Hall Auditorium
9915 - 39th Avenue
Pleasant Prairie, WI
December 3, 2018
6:00 p.m.**

A regular meeting of the Pleasant Prairie Village Board was held on Monday, December 3, 2018. Meeting called to order at 6:00 p.m. Present were Village Board members John Steinbrink, Kris Keckler, Mike Pollocoff, Dave Klimisch and Mike Serpe. Also present were Nathan Thiel, Village Administrator, Tom Shircel, Assistant Village Administrator; Jean Werbie-Harris, Community Development Director; Dave Smetana, Chief of Police; Craig Roepke, Chief of Fire & Rescue; Matt Fineour, Village Engineer; John Steinbrink Jr., Public Works Director; Carol Willke, Human Resources Director; Craig Anderson, Recreation Director and Jane C. Snell, Village Clerk. One citizen attended the meeting.

- 1. CALL TO ORDER**
- 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**
- 3. ROLL CALL**
- 4. MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING - OCTOBER 1, 2018; MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETINGS - OCTOBER 1, 2018, OCTOBER 15, 2018, NOVEMBER 5, 2018**

Dave Klimisch:

Move approval.

Michael Serpe:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

We have a motion and a second. Any discussion? Those in favor?

Voices:

Aye.

John Steinbrink:

Opposed? Motion carries.

KLIMISCH MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE VILLAGE BOARD SPECIAL MEETING HELD ON OCTOBER 1, 2018 AND REGULAR MEETINGS HELD ON OCTOBER 1, 2018, OCTOBER 15, 2018 AND NOVEMBER 5, 2018 AS PRESENTED IN THEIR WRITTEN FORM; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

5. CITIZEN COMMENTS

Jane Snell:

Mr. President, we had one signup this evening, Ken Harju.

Ken Harju:

Hello, everybody. My name is Ken Harju actually like fine, how are you. I live in Pleasant Prairie.

John Steinbrink:

Just give us your name and address for the record.

Ken Harju:

9249 64th Court, Unit 162, Pleasant Prairie.

John Steinbrink:

Thank you.

Ken Harju:

I'm a little nervous tonight, but I live in Pleasant Prairie. We bought about three years ago. And at the time as you probably all know it's about 60 percent developed. And we got wind that Bear Development has bought a lot of the other property there, and they're planning a bunch of -- a development, and I have a quick [inaudible] developed as we bought our condo. And they were pretty well -- it was zoned for other condos and single family homes. Well, Bear Development now owns the property, and they're proposing 140 apartments as well as 38 single family homes.

And if you look at your map those are the yellow buildings. The bottom part of your map the light gray buildings are established buildings, they're already there. The top of your map is a circle. It's four 20-unit apartment buildings. If you keep going around the circle there's another three yellow buildings. That's another 20 apartment buildings. I live in a eight unit. On that map a 20-unit building is smaller than my eight unit building. So this might be a little deceptive. So I'm just saying I'm sure this is the place for this development. It's 178 more units, there's 150 there now. That's 328 all supported by one road, Creekside Circle.

Now, given the fact that every town needs to develop, I understand that, and if these units were going to be higher end, hey, you know we all own there. But this 6th of December Bear Development has incorporated Creekside Commons, LLC, and is going before the Wisconsin Housing Authority. I'm reading out a legal notice from the *Kenosha News* of 11-16. And it states that they're going to go for low and moderate housing and asking for a \$25 million grant

Village Board Minutes
December 3, 2018

towards this project. Every city needs mixed housing, I understand that. But I'm not sure this is the place for low and moderate housing. And I just come to the Board to point this out to you. So I appreciate your time.

We moved to the area from Gurnee three years ago. We love it here. My son is a plant manager at Rehrig Pacific that makes all the garbage containers that we use. My daughter who lives in Pleasant Prairie, just bought a house in Village Green, my daughter is now a 9-1-1 dispatcher for Kenosha County. So this is our home now, and I just don't know that this is the right development for this particular area. I thank you for your time.

John Steinbrink:

Thank you. That was it? Anyone else wishing to speak under citizens' comments? Hearing none I'll close citizens' comments.

6. ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

A. Community Collaboration

Tom Shircel:

Thank you, Mr. President and Board members. I do have one item tonight. In your packet tonight there's a four page item called Creating Community Collaborative Involvement. And I just wanted to give an introduction to this. This is sort of an introductory piece. And it's an engagement that the Village administration is really excited about. So wanted to sort of present this to you tonight. This is a gentleman who runs this company called Community Collaboration. And he was at the League of Wisconsin Municipality's meeting in Wisconsin Dells back in October. And Nathan, our administrator, had the opportunity to meet him and go to his session at the conference.

And what this gentleman does is he uses sort of a new way of engaging the community to get involved in certain projects. So Nathan, like I said, talked with this gentleman, and we've talked with him over the phone since. And we're interested in engaging this gentleman and entering into a contract with him which you'll see on a later Board meeting date to help us with the Village Green Center which will occur around here and across the street as you know which has been on sort of the back burner for the past 20 or 30 years to develop that sort of downtown for the Village of Pleasant Prairie.

So this gentleman would help us and help the community obviously in getting this process underway once again. And he uses this unique process where he really digs down deep sort of from the ground up community engagement process to get the community involved, its citizens, its stakeholders and so and so forth. So instead of earlier processes and past processes that the Village has used whereby typically the Village or a consultant will come in with a plan, we'll invite the community, people will show up obviously and show them the plan, and people give their input. The consultant goes back, makes a few tweaks and says, okay, here's the plan. So

Village Board Minutes
December 3, 2018

some people might perceive that has writing on the wall already, and it's just a basic process to go through just to check a box. Yes, we did some community engagement.

Well, this gentleman, this Todd Streeter is his name who runs this company, he gets the community engaged, like I said, from the ground up. So he'll have an initial kickoff meeting. He invites anyone who wants to come to the meeting, everyone is invited. And what that does is it gets the community, the citizens, involved from the very beginning. And then he lets the citizens run with it. In other words they're going to be doing the planning. The citizens would run the meetings, the staff takes a step back and is not really involved at all. Mr. Streeter would send out invitations, he'd make public notices, put things in the media regarding these meetings.

So it's really a grass roots involvement to get the community involved. And what that does is by getting the community involved they take ownership of it, and they become really interested in the process. They run with it. The process takes about six to eight months. They would meet like every two weeks, these focus groups. So once you get this kickoff meeting then you divide it down to focus groups who would sort of concentrate on certain aspects of the plan like transportation, parks and so on and so forth. So you'd have these certain focus groups who would then delve into and look into their specific project area. And at the end they'd bring all this together.

So Nathan and I really excited about it as well as Jean Werbie-Harris, and we think this guy could do some great wonders and get this downtown going again by getting this grass roots community involvement. So I just wanted to explain the process to you. Like I said you'd probably have hopefully a contract with this gentleman coming up in probably the next meeting to engage this Todd Streeter to help us along with this Village Green Center. If there's any questions I'll be happy to answer them.

Michael Serpe:

Do you know what he charges for his work?

Tom Shircel:

Yeah, he mentioned, we asked them that the other day, he said anywhere from about \$18,000 to \$22,000.

Dave Klimisch:

What kind of time line?

Tom Shircel:

He said anywhere between six to eight months from kickoff to completion. And he said you'd find out really how much talent. We know there's some very smart people in the community. You really find out through this process how much talent there is in the community. They're willing to take this process, run with it. They can perhaps create maps and create charts so they

Village Board Minutes
December 3, 2018

really take a hands on approach and really get involved in this process. So it really becomes not only the community's plan but their plan as well. That's all I have.

John Steinbrink:

There's no guidance from staff?

Tom Shircel:

Don't get me wrong there will be some guidance undoubtedly. But the idea is to really have the citizens and the focus groups take it on themselves. That's really the main impetus to the whole project. But, Mr. President, there would be obviously some guidance, yes. That's all I have.

John Steinbrink:

Any other questions for Tom? Thank you, Tom.

7. OLD BUSINESS - TABLED ITEM

John Steinbrink:

I need a motion to remove it from the table.

Michael Serpe:

So moved.

Dave Klimisch:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion and a second to remove from the table. Those in favor?

Voices:

Aye.

John Steinbrink:

Opposed? Motion carries.

SERPE MOVED TO HEAR TABLED ITEM CONSIDER AND ACCEPT THE SUBURBAN MUTUAL ASSISTANCE RESPONSE TEAM AGREEMENT; SECONDED BY KLIMISCH; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

A. Consider and accept the Suburban Mutual Assistance Response Teams Agreement.

Chief Smetana:

Good evening, Mr. President, and members of the Board. The item before you tonight is the Suburban Mutual Aid Response Team Memo of Understanding. This is a project that's been almost two years in the works. The area of Kenosha County was approached by the governing board of SMART over two years ago, just about two years ago and with their desire for Kenosha County law enforcement agencies to join their organization.

The organization of SMART consists now of agencies from Kenosha County, Racine County, Walworth County, Milwaukee County, Jefferson County and Waukesha County. The idea behind those agencies joining is that it provides immediate law enforcement resources and equipment to the scene of any law enforcement emergency. It provides the automatic and systematic response of those resources. It provides an MOU which you have in front of you tonight for your consideration. So the legal and other responsibilities are outlined and codified. And it provides broad coverage for those law enforcement as well as fostering a good law enforcement cooperative spirit between the agencies.

The idea behind this is that each agency that joins agrees in spirit when there is a SMART call up, and that is designated by the agency, by the belonging agency so that the agency that belongs to SMART and then the other agencies that are contributing. So there are 70 other -- you could have a possibility of having 70 officers from those agencies onsite. There's 70 different law enforcement agencies involved in this process. That would be able to help you during a natural disaster, transportation accidents, large fires, things of that nature. It could also help you during some pre-planned events as long as those events are approved by the Board for that type of response.

The typical response goes out like this, the West Allis Police Department their communication section handles the communication. Our law enforcement agency would receive a teletype requesting one of our officers and a [inaudible] type of equipment to respond to a site. We would then designate which officer and which equipment they're taking with them. They would respond to that site along with numerous other agencies.

There's a number of levels. Now, to give you an example a level one response for us, and it's a little tough to see up there, but a level one response from us would get us our area agencies. So we could get up to five departments here very quickly to help us out. So that could be for a crime that could be for a natural disaster, which could be for any type of response we're looking for. If we decide to go to a level two, level three, you see the number of those squads increases by five for each of those levels of response. So when it's all said and done if you went through all those levels of response you could have as many as 70 officers here willing to help and able to help for any type of situation. Some of the larger events that this has been used on is the Sikh Temple shooting in Oak Creek. Their agencies in Milwaukee County have been in the forefront of organizing this. So they would place that call out, those officers would respond and be able to stay onsite for a certain amount of time.

Village Board Minutes
December 3, 2018

The idea behind SMART, the MOU you have in front of you tonight, has been vetted by the Village's legal counsel and Don Mayew. Don had some questions about it, that's why we paused at the last Village Board meeting, had it brought back so the insurance liability carriers could actually take a look at it as well. They've approved it. It's got broad support. The agreement itself that's in front of you was drafted by Eric Larson and Remzy Bitar who are attorneys who represent the League of Municipalities. So they've got that broad view as well as what's going to affect their member agencies. And I can answer any questions for you.

Michael Serpe:

I have one. Chief, if we have a call up and another agency responds and one of their officers becomes disabled as a result of the action with our Village, who's responsible for that disability?

Chief Smetana:

That could be on our agency. So there's a -- the agreement is covered by mutual aid as mentioned in state law. With that you'll see in the agreement that the chief law enforcement in each of the jurisdictions has the ability to waive certain costs. With that type of cost it would be the Village Board deciding whether to waive or deciding whether to go after the agency, the requesting agency for those costs.

Kris Keckler:

I have a question regarding Section 9, the termination. Obviously this is expected that everybody will participate and be able to support each other. But I'd imagine that in rare circumstances there may be times when any one entity's department is exhausted as the call may come out and unfortunately not being able to support them. And I know that there's variability with that card. In the section where it talks about the chiefs and sheriffs may adopt procedures for terminating agencies, this is kind of a wait and see if that arises and what that looks like?

Chief Smetana:

There's another section in this that if the agency being requested to help has no resources to send we all understand that. So while it's an optional response, the overwhelming push behind it is that you will send at least one officer to respond to that.

Kris Keckler:

Sure, but at least with the understanding that there unfortunately may be a circumstance where everybody is tied up.

Chief Smetana:

Correct, right.

Village Board Minutes
December 3, 2018

Kris Keckler:

Okay, thank you.

Dave Klimisch:

Chief, over the past several years are there some incidences here where we could have used this? And if we didn't have this how would we respond?

Chief Smetana:

We are fortunate enough to have several large agencies right at our borders. The incident that comes to mind is probably about a month ago with a shooting situation we had where we needed a vast amount of help. So we had Kenosha PD and Kenosha Sheriffs Department who were willing to help us out with some resources until we could get that situation contained. We handled the complete investigation of it. We needed those resources to set up a perimeter on some locations to make sure nobody was in and out, some traffic control which is really what this would be as well.

So you'd assign those resources that are coming in to areas that you can't necessarily man by a barricade, you need an officer at that location. So you would assign those resources coming in. We take a responsibility on with this when we agree with this that we won't man staging. So we'll have one of the supervisors involved and responsible for the staging of incoming resources. So we'll know exactly where to put them, exactly what they're going to be doing. If we have to we'll supplant them with one of our personnel as well so we've always got that contact between us.

Dave Klimisch:

So if this had been in place for that incident or something similar how would it have gone?

Chief Smetana:

I can think of some natural disasters we've had down here as well where our resources have been tasked. It was before my time but when the tornado came through, whether it's flooding, whether it's those types of natural disaster incidents. I can think if you do have some sort of a -- in the political seasons where you've got a large group, say you've got a presidential visit, you've got something else you can get that permission from the Board to also utilize it for that. What they try to stay from is you've got a Fourth of July parade, you're not going to be using this for that. So it's really a last ditch effort where the resources of the requesting agency have been tapped.

John Steinbrink:

Any other questions for the Chief?

Village Board Minutes
December 3, 2018

Mike Pollocoff:

I move approval of the SMART agreement.

Kris Keckler:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

We have a motion and a second of the agreement. Further discussion? Those in favor?

Voices:

Aye.

John Steinbrink:

Opposed? Motion carries. Thank you, Chief.

Chief Smetana:

Thank you.

POLLOCOFF MOVED TO ACCEPT AND APPROVED THE SUBURBAN MUTUAL ASSISTANCE RESPONSE TEAM AGREEMENT; SECONDED BY KECKLER; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

8. NEW BUSINESS

A. Consider Award of Contract to Purchase a Rescue/Pumper Fire Engine.

Chief Roepke:

Mr. President and members of the Board, for your consideration this evening is we put an RFP out for the purchase of a rescue/pumper, fire apparatus that the budget for that was approved. We put the request for proposal out to three organizations, Pierce Manufacturing, E1 and Ferrara. Slide please. Bid results were as follows. Pierce came at \$776,699, E1 with \$864,696, and Ferrara did not bid at all. So we're looking to recommend Pierce Manufacturing for the above-mentioned price of \$776,699 for the rescue/pumper. I can answer any questions that you'd like.

John Steinbrink:

Questions for the Chief?

Village Board Minutes
December 3, 2018

Mike Pollocoff:

Chief, the 5613 now as the vehicle comes out of service?

Chief Roepke:

Yes, that's the 1999 Pierce Zero. The other consideration, and we'll talk about it shortly, the 1990 you have basically the tank needs to be replaced to which we've gotten quotes is upwards of \$30,000. So we don't feel it makes the best financial sense to replace that tank. We also feel that with the engine and the pump of some of the monies that we have spent on it at the beginning of the year that engine would make a good surplus sale and still be useful if someone would decide to use it for a pump.

Mike Pollocoff:

This is our reserve engine?

Chief Roepke:

I'm sorry?

Mike Pollocoff:

Is 13 a reserve engine?

Chief Roepke:

Yes.

Dave Klimisch:

How do these bid prices fit with what you were anticipating?

Chief Roepke:

Put it this one, the E1 was extremely higher than what we anticipated, and quite honestly we were a little disappointed with the E1 bid price. If you recall the aerial platform is an E1. So Pierce did not bid for the aerial. They did bid for the rescue/pumper. Pierce demonstrated significantly more detail in their bid documents. They offered different options that we didn't think of quite honestly that in some cases had cost reductions. And so they were much more detailed than E1 was. And Ferrara, a fire manufacturer down out of Louisiana did not bid at all.

Michael Serpe:

Chief if this is a reserve unit, if you had to make repairs to this particular unit, \$30,000 for a tank, what's the cost of a new pump?

Village Board Minutes
December 3, 2018

Chief Roepke:

I'd hazard a guess as far as the -- are you talking about the 1990?

Michael Serpe:

Yeah.

Chief Roepke:

The pump in the 13 from what I understand it works. The fact that it's a 1990 that's really the concern.

Michael Serpe:

Twenty four thousand miles on a diesel it's really nothing.

Chief Roepke:

That's the oldest of the engines is the 1990.

Mike Pollocoff:

I know we're going to take that '90 out of service. That goes without saying. The other item that was in the budget for our rescue department was an outgrowth of how the department needs to grow from a staffing standpoint. We need two things, we need capital and we need staffing additions. And part of that addition is doing parts of the growth of the Village. That's also part of the same labor problems that everybody else is having is we don't have paid on call firefighters at the rate we used to [inaudible] and the same thing with part time.

And in order to come up with a comprehensive solution to that problem the Board had funded a staffing study to be done by a professional consultant to help us come up with how many people do we need for what we [inaudible] now based on our growth path and how that's occurring, what do we need to be able to handle for new growth coming forward, and do that all in light of levy limits and all that stuff that's going on.

I tend to think that we recommend that we re-shift the priorities here, get the staffing study underway, get that thing well done. I think we all want a well done study that everybody has a piece of, get that staffing study done and have it take a look at everything. I don't know that it's going to tell us don't get a rescue/pumper. I mean I'm not sure that's going to be the direction of that. But I do think that staffing study is going to give us some lead on when the next station opens up and what we're going to need to put in that station. So, again, I don't want you to think I'm not supporting getting a rescue/pumper, I think we need to get that, but I think we need to resolve and get a handle on what we're going to need for staffing.

Village Board Minutes
December 3, 2018

Chief Roepke:

We definitely need the study without a doubt.

Mike Pollocoff:

To me the personnel part of this is more important in a priority sense than the engine. And I'd recommend removing it from the agenda for two reasons. One is I'd like to be able to give the department an opportunity to sit down with another vendor or give Pierce the opportunity to -- not Pierce, but E1 the opportunity to come up and sharpen their pen. We've only got one bonafide offer here. And if we can get another offer I think that's good for everybody, it gives us some time to get that done. I don't want to table it and having it still be out there. I should give the departments some latitude in dealing again. And I think the other thing we could do is we just outright deny. The RP when I looked at the RP submitted it reserved that right for the Village Board to deny any and all proposals. It could be a denial. Dealing with this guys it could be cleaner than just removing it from the table and have that sit idle.

Chief Roepke:

Right, the idea is whatever is in the best interest of the Village.

Kris Keckler:

And I'd be inclined to support this. I know this was mentioned as a backup, but this in no way puts the public safety at risk if we were to deny this.

Chief Roepke:

Correct.

Kris Keckler:

Okay, just so it's absolutely clear.

Mike Pollocoff:

So with that to the Board I'd like to make a motion to deny the bid that was presented as recommend by the Fire and Rescue Department for the rescue/pumper bid from Pierce Manufacturing. And I want to have the budget for the Fire and Rescue Department to move forthwith to get that staffing study under way, get that done. And as we start getting it done -- it's going to be a delivery ramp up to get this thing done, we can put this thing out to bid again. And I'd recommend you put it out to bid.

Chief Roepke:

They're not going away.

Village Board Minutes
December 3, 2018

Mike Pollocoff:

I don't have any issues with the truck at all, but I think the Board has concerns to have our hands around what the staffing requirement is going to be for the department.

Michael Serpe:

What you said makes sense, Mike.

John Steinbrink:

That was a motion, Mike?

Mike Pollocoff:

That was a motion.

Kris Keckler:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

We have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? Those in favor?

Voices:

Aye.

John Steinbrink:

Opposed? Motion carries. Thank you, Chief.

POLLOCOFF MOVED TO DENY THE AWARD OF CONTRACT TO PURCHASE A RESCUE/PUMPER FIRE ENGINE; SECONDED BY KECKLER; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

B. Consider Resolution #18-47 for the disposal of surplus vehicles.

Chief Roepke:

As we previously discussed a little bit regarding the 1990 Pierce Arrow, we're looking to take that out of the fleet and put that into the surplus. Right now we can probably get some decent money for it while it's in somewhat good condition. So we're also looking to get rid of the 2008 Medtec ambulance which we will be replacing with the Horton that we just received and to do the same.

Village Board Minutes
December 3, 2018

Dave Klimisch:

Is 1990 the one that the new Pierce was going to replace?

Chief Roepke:

Yes.

Dave Klimisch:

So we need to not dispose of it yet?

Chief Roepke:

Well, it's still a reserve engine so we have other engines to utilize. This is the oldest of five engines.

Mike Pollocoff:

There's another engine behind the front lines.

Chief Roepke:

I'm sorry?

Mike Pollocoff:

You have another engine behind your front line engine to take care of.

Chief Roepke:

Correct.

Kris Keckler:

This wouldn't be a [inaudible], right?

Chief Roepke:

So to Trustee Keckler's previous comment it's not going to impact --

Kris Keckler:

Safety.

Village Board Minutes
December 3, 2018

Chief Roepke:

--the availability.

Mike Pollocoff:

I'd recommend disposal of both vehicles, the pumper tanker and the rescue squad.

Dave Klimisch:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

We have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? Those in favor?

Voices:

Aye.

John Steinbrink:

Opposed? Motion carries.

Chief Roepke:

Thank you.

John Steinbrink:

Thank you, Chief.

POLLOCOFF APPROVED RESOLUTION #18-47 FOR THE DISPOSAL OF SURPLUS VEHICLES; SECONDED BY KLIMISCH; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

- C. Consider the request for a new liquor license agent for Kwik Trip Store #230 located at 10451 72nd Avenue.**

Jane Snell:

Mr. President and Village Board of Trustees, Kwik Trip has submitted a request to change the agent that holds a Class A fermented malt beverage and Class A intoxicating liquor license, cider only. And that's for the Kwik Trip which is located at 10451 72nd Avenue. The previous agent is no longer employed at that establishment. The corporation is requesting a Kelly M. Acker to be appointed at the successor agent. All requirements have been satisfied. Chief Smetana has completed and approved the police check with respect to Ms. Acker, and I am recommending approval of Kelly M. Acker as successor agent for the Kwik Trip #230.

Village Board Minutes
December 3, 2018

Michael Serpe:

Move approval.

Dave Klimisch:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion and a second. Any discussion? Those in favor?

Voices:

Aye.

John Steinbrink:

Opposed? Motion carries.

SERPE MOVED TO APPROVE NEW LIQUOR LICENSE AGENT, KELLY M. ACKER, FOR KWIK TRIP STORE #230 LOCATED AT 10451 72ND AVENUE; SECONDED BY KLIMISCH; MOTION CARRIED 5-0.

9. VILLAGE BOARD COMMENTS

Michael Serpe:

I just have one. Item 11 is a public workshop sidewalks. Is that going to be heard tonight?

John Steinbrink:

Yes.

Michael Serpe:

What is that? After we adjourn?

John Steinbrink:

Yes.

Michael Serpe:

Where?

Village Board Minutes
December 3, 2018

John Steinbrink:

We delayed this from the last meeting. Right here, right now.

Michael Serpe:

Really, I'm impressed. Let's hop to it. I move to adjourn.

Tom Shircel:

Can I make a quick comment? Just to follow up on a question, Mr. President you had regarding that community collaboration. You asked me the time frame. Maybe I didn't answer that fully. I said six to eight months which is correct, but we're looking to perhaps start that as early as this coming January. So if we start that in January it would be six to eight months starting in January.

John Steinbrink:

Citizens' comment I have two. First off to thank Virgil Gentz, I hear he's retiring after 40 years. Virgil is actually a product of the Village of Pleasant Prairie. He was born and raised here, and he actually worked on my farm. We repaired all the damages and he moved on to bigger and better things. Congratulations to Virgil for 40 years. He's done a great job out there and a big part of Kenosha County. The other item is I see we have young people out in the audience. Maybe you have a spokesman you can send up to the microphone and tell us who you are and why you're here. I love a volunteer. And give us your name and address for the record.

Troy Limbaugh:

My name is Troy Limbaugh. I live down here at 6027 107th Street. We're here from Troop 505 Boy Scouts just watching and listening for a mayor badge [inaudible] the community. That's about it.

John Steinbrink:

Thank you, and thank your troop for being here tonight. Anything else under Village board Comments?

10. ADJOURNMENT

Michael Serpe:

Move to adjourn.

Kris Keckler:

Second.

Village Board Minutes
December 3, 2018

John Steinbrink:

We have a motion and a second for adjournment. Those in favor?

Voices:

Aye.

John Steinbrink:

Opposed? Motion carries.

SERPE MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING; SECONDED BY KECKLER; MOTION CARRIED 5-0 AND MEETING ADJOURNED.

11. PUBLIC WORKSHOP - SIDEWALKS